

January 2010 Evaluation of LWDA Board Capacity to Oversee and Manage Local Funds and the Delivery of Local Workforce Services

#	Local Workforce Development Area	Board Rating	Basis for Rating					Description of Repeat/Significant Findings of NonCompliance	November 2008 Rating
			Historically Contracted Measure Performance ¹			Disallowed Costs			
			Capacity to Oversee/Manage Funds/Srvc Delivery	% at 95% of Target or Better	# Below 90% of Target	# Below 85% of Target	Yes/No?		
20	Alamo	Within Standards	87.50%	0	0				Above Standards
16	Brazos Valley	Below Standards	87.50%	0	0			Single Audit Finding - Material Weakness relating to cost allocation of staff time.	Within Standards
24	Cameron County	Within Standards	81.25%	0	0				Within Standards
14	Capital Area	Above Standards	93.75%	0	0				Above Standards
26	Central Texas	Below Standards	100.00%	0	0			Repeated Findings related to administering Choices & SNAP E&T.	Above Standards
22	Coastal Bend	Within Standards	87.50%	0	0				Above Standards
12	Concho Valley	Within Standards	87.50%	1	0				Within Standards
6	Dallas	Within Standards	81.25%	0	0				Within Standards
17	Deep East Texas	Below Standards	81.25%	1	1				Above Standards
8	East Texas	Below Standards	87.50%	0	0			Significant Finding related to oversight of contractor expenditures.	Above Standards
19	Golden Crescent	Within Standards	87.50%	1	0				Within Standards
28	Gulf Coast	Below Standards	93.75%	1	1			Repeated Findings related to administering WIA Youth & Project RIO.	Above Standards
13	Heart of Texas	Below Standards	81.25%	0	0			Repeated Findings related to administering Choices & SNAP E&T. Single Audit Finding - Material Weakness relating to expenditure oversight.	Above Standards
23	Lower Rio Grande	Below Standards	87.50%	1	1			Repeated Findings related to administering SNAP E&T.	Within Standards
27	Middle Rio Grande	Within Standards	87.50%	0	0				Above Standards
4	North Central	Below Standards	87.50%	0	0			Repeated Findings related to administering Choices & SNAP E&T.	Within Standards
7	North East	Above Standards	93.75%	0	0				Above Standards
3	North Texas	Within Standards	81.25%	0	0				Above Standards
1	Panhandle	Above Standards	93.75%	0	0				Above Standards
11	Permian Basin	Below Standards	68.75%	2	0				Below Standards
15	Rural Capital	Below Standards	93.75%	0	0			Repeated Findings related to administering Choices & SNAP E&T.	Above Standards
2	South Plains	Above Standards	93.75%	0	0				Above Standards
21	South Texas	Below Standards	81.25%	2	1				Above Standards
18	Southeast Texas	Below Standards	87.50%	1	1	Yes	Yes		Above Standards
5	Tarrant County	Above Standards	93.75%	0	0				Below Standards
25	Texoma	Within Standards	93.75%	1	0				Above Standards
10	Upper Rio Grande	Within Standards	87.50%	0	0				Below Standards
9	West Central	Below Standards	81.25%	2	1				Above Standards

¹ The Commission included a provision in the rule whereby measures related to new areas of Board responsibility may be omitted from consideration in a given year. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 provided additional money for subsidized Childcare. As implemented in Texas, ARRA child care represented a new responsibility for Boards in that the program focused on a different group of families and had significant ramp up requirements and other features making it different from the traditional subsidized child care program. Thus the Commission left the Average Children Served Per Day - ARRA performance measure out of this evaluation. Also worth noting is the significant change in economic conditions in the state between the last evaluation and this one which had a significant impact on performance. The number of individuals seeking workforce services increased by 26.65% which greatly strained the capacity of the workforce system.

Above Standards	5	Above Standards	18
Within Standards	10	Within Standards	7
Below Standards	13	Below Standards	3