

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
1		
2		
3	AGENDA ITEM NO. 1	4
	Chairman Pauken	
4	AGENDA ITEM NOS. 2 & 3	4
5	Roger Dickinson, Jonathan Babiak, Doug Carnes, and Lisa Stefani	
6	AGENDA ITEM NOS. 4 & 5	5
7	Lisa Stefani, Jonathan Babiak, and Roger Dickinson	
8	AGENDA ITEM NO. 6	No Action
	Paul N. Jones	
9		
10	AGENDA ITEM NO. 7	5
	Randy Townsend	
11	AGENDA ITEM NO. 8	13
	Adam Leonard	
12		
13	AGENDA ITEM NO. 9	14
	Adam Leonard	
14	AGENDA ITEM NO. 10	No Action
	Reagan Miller and Cindy Geisman	
15		
16	AGENDA ITEM NO. 11	17
	Nicole Verver	
17	AGENDA ITEM NO. 12	21
	Nicole Verver and Debbie Carlson	
18		
19	AGENDA ITEM NO. 13	25
	Laurie Biscoe	
20	AGENDA ITEM NO. 14	27
	John Fuller	
21		
22	AGENDA ITEM NO. 15	No Action
	Tom McCarty	
23	AGENDA ITEM NO. 16	No Action
	Larry Temple	
24		
25	AGENDA ITEM NO. 17	No Action

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
1		
2		
3	AGENDA ITEM NO. 18	No Action
4	AGENDA ITEM NO. 19	29
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	30
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 TUESDAY, APRIL 27, 2010

3 (9:00 a.m.)

4 AGENDA ITEM NO. 1

5 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Good morning. The
6 meeting will now be called to order, and I'll turn the
7 meeting over to Commissioner Alcantar to preside over
8 that portion of the meeting involving Agenda Items 2
9 through 5.

10 COMM. ALCANTAR: Thank you, Chairman
11 Pauken.

12 Good morning.

13 AGENDA ITEM NO. 2

14 Discussion, Consideration, and Possible
15 Action Regarding Whether to Assume Continuing
16 Jurisdiction on Unemployment Compensation Cases, Wage
17 Claim Cases, and/or Tax Liability Cases and
18 Reconsideration of Unemployment Compensation Cases,
19 Wage Claim Cases, and/or Tax Liability Cases, if Any

20 No discussion.

21 AGENDA ITEM NO. 3

22 Discussion, Consideration, and Possible
23 Action Regarding Tax Liability Cases Listed on the
24 Texas Workforce Commission Docket 17

25 The Commission considered and took

1 action on all Tax Liability Cases listed on Docket 17.

2 AGENDA ITEM NO. 4

3 Discussion, Consideration, and Possible
4 Action Regarding Higher Level Appeals in Wage Claim
5 Cases listed on the Texas Workforce Commission
6 Docket 17

7 The Commission considered and took
8 action on all Wage Claim Cases listed on Docket 17.

9 AGENDA ITEM NO. 5

10 Discussion, Consideration, and Possible
11 Action Regarding Higher Level Appeals in Unemployment
12 Compensation Cases Listed on the Texas Workforce
13 Commission Docket 17

14 The Commission considered and took
15 action on all Unemployment Compensation Cases listed
16 on Docket 17.

17 (The Commission paused, then continued
18 with the remainder of the agenda.)

19 AGENDA ITEM NO. 6

20 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: All right. Are we
21 ready?

22 Any public comment, Mr. Jones?

23 MR. PAUL N. JONES: No public comment.

24 AGENDA ITEM NO. 7

25 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: No. 7, discussion,

1 consideration, and possible action regarding Fiscal
2 Year 2011/Program Year 2010 Allocation for Workforce
3 Investment Act Adult, Youth, and Dislocated Worker;
4 Fiscal Year 2011 planning estimates for Child Care,
5 Choices, Employment Services, Project Reintegration of
6 Offenders, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program
7 Employment and Training, Workforce Investment Act
8 Alternative Funding for Statewide Activities,
9 Workforce Investment Act Alternative Funding for
10 One-Stop Enhancements; and Performance Targets for
11 Local Workforce Development Board Areas, Mr. Townsend.

12 MR. TOWNSEND: Good morning,
13 Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. For the record, Randy
14 Townsend, Chief Financial Officer.

15 Commissioners, as you'll recall, we
16 discussed the -- the Fiscal 2011 allocations and
17 planning estimates two weeks ago as a draft. And for
18 the -- this morning I have back on the agenda for your
19 consideration and possible approval the Workforce
20 Investment Act Formula-allocated funds. I'm looking
21 at the first page of your summary, and I wanted to go
22 over a couple of the differences from last -- the last
23 time we talked about this.

24 Total of 143.6 million being proposed as
25 allocation for the Workforce Investment Act funds. As

1 I mentioned last time, just to cover a few of the
2 highlights of that, that assumption, of course, builds
3 in keeping back 5 percent for Rapid Response, about \$3
4 million dollars, similar to what we did -- was
5 approved last year.

6 Also for your consideration, as we -- as
7 we discussed last time, on the far right-hand column
8 on that allocation summary, we're talking about
9 funding the Alternative -- Alternative -- WIA
10 Statewide Alternative Funds for Statewide and for
11 One-Stop Enhancement using the current balance in the
12 Recovery Act Funds for that 2 -- 3.4 million or so,
13 which is a slight departure of what we've done in the
14 past where we've just used regular formula funds.
15 Part of the reason for that was to -- we had available
16 balances. Also, we have the ability to start those
17 contracts immediately, the first part of May, and how
18 the boards will be able to utilize that funding
19 source. So, those would be your proposed allocations
20 for Fiscal Year 2011.

21 We also have for your consideration this
22 morning planning estimates for the Choices, Child
23 Care, Employment Services, the Supplemental Nutrition
24 Assistance Program, and Project RIO. And I did want
25 to just highlight a couple items for your

1 consideration this morning, and I'll start with Child
2 Care.

3 As I presented it to you last -- two
4 weeks ago, on Child Care I'll refer to Page A -- A-11.
5 Last week -- or two weeks ago I had set the planning
6 estimate amount at a number a little bit higher than
7 this, 463.9 million. What we're recommending this
8 week is just to use -- for our planning estimate is to
9 use last year's 2010 allocation amount for -- for --
10 for now for our planning estimate. And then when we
11 go through the budget process, we can then look and --
12 and determine what would be the more appropriate
13 number there, if that would be necessary to -- to
14 achieve the target. We're not quite ready to look at
15 Child Care targets at this point.

16 So, the boards at least will have some
17 idea of what the estimates are for next year. Also,
18 they will know that the amount as far as the local
19 match target would be still in the neighborhood of 26
20 and a half million, similar to what they had raised
21 this last year. So, they would have some idea about
22 that as well.

23 The other item I wanted to point out for
24 your consideration this morning is Employment
25 Services, which is on Page --

1 for your consideration.

2 Moving on to Employment Services
3 Planning Estimate on Page A-17. I had briefly touched
4 on this last -- last time. We have for your
5 consideration this morning two options. The first
6 option totals 32.2 million. It would be the same
7 amount of Employment Services funding as was made
8 available to board areas last year and similar to
9 the -- even two years ago, and so that would be --
10 really be no change in terms of the allocation amount.

11 The second option --

12 COMM. ALCANTAR: Mr. -- Mr. Chairman,
13 I'd like for -- for us to consider that -- that our
14 current ES staff at the enhanced levels have served
15 1.8 million people in our workforce centers compared
16 to the 1.4 million that were served two years ago. I
17 do believe that, given the challenges and the -- and
18 the circumstances out there, that these enhanced staff
19 in Employment Services are really doing a wonderful
20 job in terms of providing the flexibility, the -- the
21 individualized -- the responsive services that we
22 expect from our boards and that is expected by our
23 customers. So, in order to maintain that high --
24 those high service levels and in order to continue
25 generating the results that we expect up here at the

1 state level, I'd like for us to propose that staff be
2 asked to put together a -- a plan to maintain an
3 additional 300 ES staff over the next fiscal year.

4 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Commissioner
5 Congleton.

6 COMM. CONGLETON: I'll agree with that.

7 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. That's fine.

8 MR. TOWNSEND: Very good.

9 Commissioners, let me just make sure I
10 have direction on what you'd like to consider for
11 the -- the documentation we send out to the boards as
12 far as the -- the Employment Services Planning
13 Estimate. We can just not have a Planning Estimate
14 column in the -- in the document if it goes out with
15 some kind of note indicating that will be brought back
16 for a future date, consideration, if that would be
17 acceptable to you --

18 COMM. ALCANTAR: I am comfortable
19 with -- at staff determination, sending out some
20 preliminary estimates that maintain Option 1 or
21 holding off for two weeks and bringing back an item
22 for us in two weeks that --

23 MR. TEMPLE: We can do that.

24 COMM. ALCANTAR: -- includes the
25 additional 300 staffing. It's whatever makes sense in

1 terms of the contracting goals that -- that are being
2 set out --

3 MR. TEMPLE: I think -- I think bringing
4 it back -- we could bring it back in two weeks with
5 the entire ES package, just hold that one off for now.
6 Two weeks gives us plenty of time to put that together
7 for you.

8 COMM. ALCANTAR: Then, that's acceptable
9 to us.

10 MR. TEMPLE: And we'll brief the offices
11 between now and then. We've done some homework on
12 that and have identified some funding sources as well,
13 so --

14 COMM. ALCANTAR: Well, I really
15 understand, and I think the boards have done a
16 wonderful job in responding to the -- to the -- to the
17 workload. And I think that this component is a nice
18 compliment that will really support our goals to
19 provide effective services. Thank you.

20 MR. TOWNSEND: Very good.

21 Commissioners, I believe that wrap --
22 that concludes my presentation this morning on the
23 allocations and planning estimates. So, if you have
24 no further questions --

25 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. Is there any

1 question from you, Commissioner Congleton?

2 COMM. CONGLETON: No questions.

3 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. Thank you,
4 Mr. Townsend.

5 MR. TOWNSEND: Mr. Chairman, do you -- I
6 think we probably need to entertain a motion for the
7 adoption of the allocations --

8 (Simultaneous discussion)

9 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. Is there a
10 motion?

11 COMM. CONGLETON: With the exception of
12 Child Care or with Child Care?

13 MR. TOWNSEND: I believe with Child Care
14 would be fine. I think the exception will be
15 Employment Services, I believe.

16 COMM. CONGLETON: Move that we adopt the
17 Fiscal Year 2011/Program Year 2010 Allocations and
18 Planning Estimates as presented.

19 COMM. ALCANTAR: I would second.

20 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. It's unanimous.

21 MR. TOWNSEND: Thank you.

22 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Thank you.

23 AGENDA ITEM NO. 8

24 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. No. 8,
25 discussion, consideration, and possible action

1 regarding renegotiating Program Year 2009 Performance
2 Measure Targets with the U.S. Department of Labor,
3 Mr. Leonard.

4 MR. LEONARD: Good morning,
5 Commissioners.

6 Several weeks back you approved a set of
7 recommendations for us to take to the Department of
8 Labor to propose changing several of the targets for
9 the current Program Year '09 Performance Measures on
10 WIA and ES. Subsequent to your approval, we went to
11 the Department of Labor, submitted the proposals, had
12 some discussions with them, and they ultimately
13 approved the recommendations as originally proposed.
14 And so I'm bringing these back to you today,
15 essentially, for -- as a formality to give you the
16 opportunity to accept these as the final targets for
17 PY '09.

18 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Is there a motion?

19 COMM. CONGLETON: Move that we approve
20 the renegotiations of PY '09 WIA and ES targets as
21 discussed by staff.

22 COMM. ALCANTAR: Second.

23 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: It's unanimous.

24 AGENDA ITEM NO. 9

25 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. No. 9,

1 discussion, consideration, and possible action
2 regarding the negotiation of Program Year 2010
3 Performance Measure Targets within the U.S.

4 MR. LEONARD: Good morning, again; Adam
5 Leonard, Performance, Analysis, and Reporting.

6 We now move into negotiations for next
7 year's performance measures on WIA and ES. The
8 Department of Labor issued guidance that said that we
9 could keep our '09 targets for '10 if we wanted to or
10 negotiate different targets.

11 We looked at the performance measures,
12 and there's 12 WIA and ES performance measures total
13 that we're responsible for to the Department of Labor
14 and felt that three of -- or six of the targets, those
15 for the three Average Earnings measures and the three
16 Youth measures, those '09 targets would be appropriate
17 for PY '10. However, we believe that the PY '09
18 targets, including those that we just renegotiated,
19 would not be appropriate for PY '10, because the
20 targets we negotiated for '09 had customers who were
21 primarily exiting prior to the recession or some
22 exiting within the recession, whereas in PY '10, all
23 the customers are exiting within the recessionary
24 period in Texas. And, therefore, we believe that
25 lower targets are appropriate and at least somewhat

1 lower in a couple of cases.

2 The -- the more significant drop is --
3 drops that we'd be seeing would be in Retention where
4 we went from an unemployment rate on average for the
5 PY '09 customers of 5 point -- I'm sorry, 5.55, I
6 believe, down to 7 -- or up to 7.94 percent. And
7 that's a pretty significant change, as you can
8 imagine. And in developing targets, proposals for
9 your consideration we used both DOL's regression
10 modeling that they've asked states to try piloting for
11 them this year, as well as some time series and
12 econometric modeling for the ES performance, since
13 they didn't have a model for us to use for ES.

14 And so I'm happy to answer any questions
15 you may have about these recommendations.

16 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Commissioner Alcantar.

17 COMM. ALCANTAR: I have no questions.

18 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Commissioner

19 Congleton.

20 COMM. CONGLETON: No questions.

21 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Is there a motion

22 to --

23 COMM. CONGLETON: I move that we approve

24 keeping the PY '09 targets for PY '10 for the Average

25 Earnings, WIA Youth, approve the new proposal targets

1 for Entered Employment and Employment Retention and
2 authorize staff to renegotiate with DOL on that basis.

3 COMM. ALCANTAR: I would second.

4 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: It's unanimous.

5 MR. LEONARD: We'll bring these back
6 once we hear final word from DOL.

7 AGENDA ITEM NO. 10

8 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. No. 10,
9 discussion, consideration, and possible action
10 regarding Workforce Investment Act Statewide
11 Initiatives.

12 Anything on that?

13 MS. MILLER: (Shaking head)

14 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Nothing on that.

15 AGENDA ITEM NO. 11

16 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: No. 11, discussion,
17 consideration, and possible action regarding the
18 policy concept for in-home care relative child care
19 providers, Nicole Verver.

20 MS. VERVER: Good morning, Chairman
21 Pauken, Commissioners; Nicole Verver, Workforce
22 Development.

23 This morning for your consideration is a
24 policy concept regarding relative child care provided
25 in the child's home. In May of '07 the Commission

1 adopted Child Care rules requiring relatives caring
2 for children in the relative's home to be a listed
3 family home with the Texas Department of Family and
4 Protective Services. The intent of the rules was to
5 minimize the risk of having CCDF-funded Child Care
6 Services provided by individuals with a history of
7 child -- child abuse or neglect or with a criminal
8 background.

9 However, for child care provided
10 exclusively in the child's home, what we're calling
11 "in-home care," boards are only required to ensure
12 that relative in-home care providers do not appear on
13 the Texas Department of Public Safety's Sex Offender
14 Registry. Background checks are not conducted on
15 in-home providers, because in-home care does not meet
16 the statutory definition of a family home.

17 Two issues have been identified as a
18 proposed policy concept. I would like to go over each
19 of these separately.

20 Issue 1 relates to requiring DPS Sex
21 Offender Registry checks for relative in-home
22 providers on a regular basis. The Child Care rules do
23 not specifically state how frequently relative child
24 care providers must undergo a check against the DPS
25 Sex Offender Registry. To address this issue,

1 clarification was issued in a WD letter in March.
2 However, to align the intent of the rules and to
3 reflect the guidance previously issued, staff is
4 recommending that the rules be amended to require
5 registry checks at the following points: The parent's
6 initial eligible -- eligibility determination for
7 Child Care, the parent's redetermination for Child
8 Care, and then when a parent chooses a different
9 in-home relative child care provider.

10 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Any discussion? That
11 makes a lot of sense to address this issue, it seems
12 to me.

13 Any discussion?

14 COMM. ALCANTAR: No, I think these
15 are -- it's definitely a step in the right direction,
16 and I'm very supportive of the proposed change.

17 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. Issue 2.

18 MS. VERVER: Issue 2 relates to placing
19 additional requirements on the use of relative in-home
20 care in order to minimize the risk of the health and
21 safety of children. By allowing different background
22 check standards based upon the care setting, relatives
23 could decide to forgo the listed family home
24 requirement and care for the child in the child's
25 home. CCDF federal regulations give the states

1 flexibility in placing more stringent standards on
2 in-home care. Therefore, to minimize the risk posed
3 to TWC, staff recommends amending the Child Care rules
4 to require the following:

5 Boards must allow for in-home care,
6 however limit in-home care to the following: A family
7 with a child with disabilities whose medical condition
8 precludes care outside of the family's home, a family
9 with a child under 18 months of age, a child of a teen
10 parent, and a child of a parent who is regularly
11 working a night shift or other nontraditional hours.
12 Further, if in-home care is required for a child with
13 disabilities or a child under 18 months of age, staff
14 recommends the siblings of the children be allowed to
15 receive in-home care to prevent the parent from making
16 multiple child care arrangements.

17 I'll be glad to answer any questions.

18 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Any questions? Is
19 there a motion?

20 COMM. CONGLETON: I move that we approve
21 the policy concept regarding 809 of the Child Care
22 Services rule as proposed by staff.

23 COMM. ALCANTAR: I would second.

24 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: It's unanimous. Thank
25 you, Nicole.

1 AGENDA ITEM NO. 12

2 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Discussion -- No. 12,
3 discussion, consideration, and possible action
4 regarding a policy concept concerning amendments to
5 rules relating to General Administration, Local
6 Workforce Boards, and integrity of the workforce
7 system, Nicole Verver and Debbie Carlson.

8 MS. VERVER: Again, for the record,
9 Nicole Verver, and Ms. Carlson is joining me at the
10 table this morning.

11 This morning for your consideration is a
12 policy concept on changes to Chapter 800, General
13 Administration rules, and 801, Local Workforce
14 Development Board rules. As background, the Texas
15 Government Code requires each agency to review and
16 consider for readoption its rules every four years.
17 Staff completed a review of the Chapters 800 and 801
18 and received input from various divisions throughout
19 the agency.

20 Through the staff review we identified
21 several issues and I'd like to go over separately.
22 Issue 1 is developing a new chapter on the integrity
23 of the workforce system. Staff recommends moving
24 rules currently contained in Chapter 800 and 801
25 related to the integrity of the Texas Workforce System

1 to a new Chapter 802 that will highlight the
2 importance of this aspect of the workforce system.

3 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Any discussion?

4 COMM. ALCANTAR: No, it makes sense.

5 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay.

6 MS. VERVER: Okay. Issue 2:
7 Designation of Texas Workforce Centers. Currently,
8 TWC rules relating to requirements for Texas Workforce
9 Center certifications and -- and standards establish
10 various designations of centers, such as basic,
11 certified, full-service. In addition, to assure the
12 uniform minimum standards are met statewide, it was
13 established in rule that TWC was responsible for
14 certifying the boards' compliance.

15 Staff is recommending the following:
16 The classification of all centers as "Texas Workforce
17 Centers," and the removal of the requirement for TWC
18 to review and certify Texas Workforce Centers and
19 require that boards are -- boards are required to
20 certify the centers. In addition, TWC's Subrecipient
21 Monitoring Department would monitor boards'
22 self-certification of the Workforce Centers.

23 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Any discussion?

24 (No response)

25 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. Continue.

1 MS. VERVER: Okay. Issue 3: Required
2 Programs and Services. Texas Government Code
3 establishes two major components of the workforce
4 system, employer services and integrated service
5 delivery system to job seekers. The Government Code
6 also sets forth the minimum standards for available
7 services at the Texas Workforce Centers.

8 Staff is recommending a clear
9 delineation in TWC rules that services are available
10 for both employers and job seekers, a new chapter that
11 sets -- sets forth the availability of the employer
12 services, including the services available at no cost
13 and services available on a fee-for-service basis. We
14 will be bringing forward this new chapter soon.

15 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay.

16 MS. VERVER: Okay. Issue 4: Appeals of
17 Contract Terminations. Chapter 800 provides rules for
18 negotiation, mediation, and other assisted
19 negotiations of a contract claim that are inconsistent
20 with the Texas Government Code. Staff is recommending
21 amending Chapter 800 to provide consistency with the
22 Government Code.

23 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. Sounds fine.
24 All right. Issue 5.

25 MS. VERVER: All right. The final

1 issue, Chapters 800 and 801 require additional changes
2 that are based on previously-released guidance that we
3 do not have in rule; changes in WIA waivers. We need
4 to clarify expectations in regard to board oversight,
5 responsibilities, and capacity and make necessary
6 technical corrections and clarifications. We've
7 listed these issues individually in the policy
8 concept.

9 So, if you have any questions, we'll be
10 glad to answer them.

11 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. Any questions?

12 COMM. ALCANTAR: No questions.

13 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: All right. Is there a
14 motion?

15 COMM. CONGLETON: Now, we're approving
16 these rules for employer's benefits that are -- we --
17 we don't know what they are yet? You are bringing --
18 going to bring that forward or what?

19 MS. CARLSON: The -- the piece on
20 specific employer rules will be brought back to the
21 Commission at a later date in a separate policy
22 concept.

23 COMM. CONGLETON: Okay. Move that we
24 approve the policy concept regarding 800, 801, and 802
25 as proposed by staff.

1 COMM. ALCANTAR: I would second.

2 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: It's unanimous.

3 AGENDA ITEM NO. 13

4 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: All right. No. 3 --
5 13, discussion, consideration, and possible action
6 regarding recommendations for awards to be presented
7 at the Texas Workforce Commission Annual Conference,
8 Ms. Biscoe.

9 MS. BISCOE: Good morning, Mr. Chairman
10 and Commissioners; Laurie Biscoe with the Workforce
11 Development Division. We have several
12 recommendations for changes to the board and employers
13 awards that will be presented at this year's Annual
14 Conference.

15 First, we're recommending that the
16 Summer Youth Employment board award that was created
17 last year be continued. As last year, this award
18 would recognize a board whose creation as a Summer
19 Youth Program surpasses merely providing work
20 readiness experiences. The winner of the award would
21 be a board who collaborates with employers, educators,
22 and/or other community partners to inspire young
23 people to engage in education and career paths leading
24 to occupations in a state industry cluster or
25 high-demand, high-skill target occupations. If you

1 approve continuing the Summer Youth Employment Award,
2 we ask that you designate \$50,000 in TANF funds in
3 FY 2011 for the monetary prize associated with that
4 award.

5 With regard to the employer awards, we
6 are recommending that the 28 local employers of
7 excellence continue to be recognized. However, staff
8 is recommending some fairly significant changes to the
9 Statewide Award to be presented this year. We'd like
10 to focus the nomination and award process on selection
11 of the Employer of the Year Award and discontinue the
12 current, future, and transitional workforce awards.
13 The recommendation is to allow each board to -- to
14 nominate up to two employers for the award, encourage
15 other system organizations, such as economic
16 development, education, and labor organizations to
17 collaborate with boards in nominating employers, to
18 highlight the top five employers nominated through TWC
19 publicity, including videos of each employer's
20 operations that would be shown at the luncheon, and to
21 invite the five top nominees to attend the luncheon
22 where the Employer of the Year will be a surprise
23 announcement.

24 Finally, staff is recommending the
25 creation of a new award this year, a Partnership

1 Recognition Award for community colleges and other
2 entities highlighting their work in providing a
3 skilled workforce. Recipients of the award would be
4 selected based on their innovation and meeting
5 employer's needs for specific skills and on
6 performance, which aligns with contracted
7 expectations. Community colleges and other entities
8 selected for the Partnership Award would be selected
9 by TWC staff, rather than through the board nomination
10 process, and the award would not include a monetary
11 prize.

12 I'll be glad to answer any questions you
13 have on the recommendations for this year's awards.

14 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. Is there any
15 discussion, or is there a motion?

16 COMM. CONGLETON: Move that we approve
17 the recommendations for awards for 2010 Texas
18 Workforce Conference as discussed.

19 COMM. ALCANTAR: I would second.

20 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: It's unanimous. Thank
21 you, Ms. Biscoe.

22 AGENDA ITEM NO. 14

23 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. Mr. Fuller,
24 discussion, consideration, and possible action
25 regarding approval of Local Workforce Development

1 Board Nominees.

2 MR. FULLER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
3 Commissioners, Mr. Temple. For the record, I'm John
4 Fuller with the Workforce Development Division.

5 Today before you we have nominations
6 from the Dallas County Workforce Board, Heart of
7 Texas, South Plains, and Lower Rio Grande.

8 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Everything looks fine
9 to me. Is there any -- is there a motion?

10 COMM. CONGLETON: Move that we approve
11 the nominees from Dallas County, Heart of Texas, South
12 Plains, Lower Rio Grande Valley Workforce Development
13 Boards as presented.

14 COMM. ALCANTAR: Second.

15 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: It's unanimous. Thank
16 you, Mr. Fuller.

17 MR. FULLER: Thank you.

18 AGENDA ITEM NO. 15

19 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Nothing from
20 Mr. McCarty today.

21 AGENDA ITEM NO. 16

22 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Anything from you,
23 Mr. Temple?

24 MR. TEMPLE: No staff reports, sir.

25 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. I guess we --

1 is there a motion to adjourn? Then, we're going to
2 discuss the Strategic Plan --

3 MR. TEMPLE: Yes. Do we --

4 (Simultaneous discussion)

5 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: -- after --

6 MR. TEMPLE: -- do we adjourn or do
7 we --

8 MR. PAUL N. JONES: Adjourn and then --

9 MR. TEMPLE: Yeah, adjourn and then
10 convene, yes, sir.

11 AGENDA ITEM NO. 19

12 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: Okay. Is there a
13 motion to adjourn?

14 COMM. CONGLETON: So made.

15 COMM. ALCANTAR: I would second.

16 CHAIRMAN PAUKEN: It's unanimous.

17 (Meeting adjourned: 9:46 a.m.)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF TEXAS)
COUNTY OF TRAVIS)

I, JANIS SIMON, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above-mentioned matter occurred as hereinbefore set out.

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT the proceedings of such were reported by me or under my supervision, later reduced to typewritten form under my supervision and control and that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and correct transcription of the original notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this day of , 2010.

JANIS SIMON
Certified Shorthand Reporter
CSR No. 7076 - Expires 12/31/11
Firm Registration No. 276
Kennedy Reporting Service, Inc.
1801 Lavaca Street, Suite 115
Austin, Texas 78701